Front

Approved For Release 2000/08/27: CIA-RDP75 00149R000600210040-2

LOS ANGELES, CALIF TIMES

M. 768,503 1,094,990

> SEP 1 1964

Quiz Reveals **CIA Spending** [hrough Fund

BY VINCENT J. BURKE Times Staff Writer

WASHINGTON — The cloak of the government's cloak-and-dagger agency got snagged Monday in the machinery of a congressional investigation.

And Lt. Gen. Marshall S. Carter, deputy director of the Central Intelligence Agency, had to spend an hour conferring with Rep. Wright Patman (D-Tex.) to get it extricated.

Before the damage was repaired, the public got a brief but fascinating peek at one of the techniques used by the CIA to mask its role in financing activities it deems to be in the interest of the U.S. government.

The congressional inquiry within a reasonable time." disclosed that during the last four years, the ČIA has channeled the spending of He said the CIA officials, on Aug. 10 when Internal the closed-door meeting, the J. M. Kaplan Fund, Inc., had been co-operative in Revenue Service officials, intensive audit of the Kaplan

Amount Not Told

for what purpose.

by Internal Revenue Service the CIA existed. officials before Patman's cat out of the bag.

Patman disclosed his set of last year. cret information about CIA's It was founded by Jacob past connection with the M. Kaplan a New Yorker, at Kaplan Fund because, he said, the CIA just wasn't cooperating in his investiga-

vestigating. He contends the cer of the Welch Co. but is warning by whispering the tax exemption of many of president of a number of information to Patman. them, including the Kaplan other firms. Fund, should be revoked

Revocation Urged

* Internal Revenue Service major unstated purpose has contributions must be rehave recommended that the his associates to use the apparently got around this tax - exempt status of the earnings to gain control of Kaplan Fund through other Kaplan Fund be revoked. various companies. | corporations whose records But these decisions were | During Monday's con-were not subject to public subsequently over-ruled.

peared to take him very se-dagger funds. No names contributions totaling riously.

Harding, acting commis-1961 during an audit of the Michigan Fund, the Andrew sioner of the Internal Rev-fund by the agency. enue Service.

Patman emerged to an fund was being conducted, nounce that Harding had and they were concerned promised that the Internal whether their interest would Revenue Service's current be made public and whether investigation to determine the fund would be jeoparwhether the Kaplan Fund's dized by the audit," he said. tax exemption is justified "is Rogovin said that not even being vigorously pursued the New York office which and a full report will begwas conducting the auditi made to the committee

CIA Not Implicated

fore, the subcommittee's had some information they There was no hint of how henceforth shy away from neled, where it was spent, or CIA. He said he is persuaded that "no matter of interest to The testimony was given the subcommittee relating to

The J. M. Kaplan Fund, small business subcommittee Inc., set up in 1944, reported after Patman himself let the assets of \$1.6 million in 1945 and \$14.7 million at the end

Fund, should be revoked because they are being used by their founders for personal gain rather than as charitable institutions.

The stated purpose of the intervened, the secret connection between the CIA and the Kaplan Fund would abroad by aiding beneathed been disclosed three tional, scientific and literary linder tax rules the source. activities.

officials in New York twice been to enable Kaplan and ported annually. The CIA foundation's tax-exempthy channeling funds to the

gressional hearing, Mitchell scrutiny. After Patman demonstrated that he is, to use his own word, not a man to be other tax-exempt foundations with the CIA is using a number of the CIA's other tax-exempt foundations as "confluits" for the latter received. were mentioned.

tor, accompanied by two revenue service first became unidentified aides, went to aware of CIA's connection Patman's office for a con-with the Kaplan fund late in Gotham Foundation, the ference. So did Bertrand M. with the Kaplan fund late in Gotham Foundation, the

Seventy minutes later learned that an audit of the Edsel

was told of the CIA's con- joy tax - exempt status. The state of the s nection.

the J. M. Kaplan Fund, Inc., had been co-operative in Revenue Service of the J. M. Kaplan Fund, Inc., had been co-operative in Revenue Service of the J. M. Kaplan Fund, in the supplying the information testifing at a public hear Fund, had some information they porative and that, there-

Rep. James Roosevelt (D-1 observing that there were volt said newsmen present and

many tax-exempt foundations which Patman is in-Kaplan no longer is an offi-public information. The Kaplan no longer is an offi-witnesses responded to this

Thus, if Roosevelt had not

Under tax rules the source Patman contends that a of a tax-exempt foundation's

\$923,950 from eight donors Carter, CIA deputy direc- Rogovin testified that the who did not even list their addresses.

He identified them as the Hamilton Fund, the Bordon "They (CIA officials) had Trust, the Price Fund, the Fund, the Beacon; and the Kentfield Fund.

Harding, who was in the witness chair, responded to Patman's demand for the addresses by saying that the revenue service might not have the information in its files, if these eight foundations did not themselves en-

Roosevelt scoffed at this noting that the revenue

"If these are dummy corpublic inquiry would wanted to give "off the re- don't want to talk about henceforth shy away for come in and say so—but much money was so chan- any matters related to the Rep. James Roosevelt (D. don't say you don't know neled, where it was spent, or CIA He said he is possed to the Cal.) stopped them short by anything about it." Roose-

tion of the Approvoved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000600210040-2 The Kaplan Fund is one of